

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

JUANREN WU,

Plaintiff,

v.

ACCFORE,

Defendant.

Case No.: 26-cv-01673

Judge Lindsay C. Jenkins

**ORDER FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT EXPEDITED DISCOVERY AND SERVICE OF
PROCESS BY E-MAIL AND/OR ELECTRONIC PUBLICATION**

Plaintiff Juanren Wu (“Plaintiff”) filed an *ex parte* Motion for Entry of an Order for leave to conduct Expedited Discovery and for Alternative Service of Process Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(3) (the “Motions”) against the fully interactive, e-commerce store operating under the seller alias Accfore (the “Defendant” or “Defendant Internet Store”). After reviewing the Motions and the accompanying record, this Court GRANTS Plaintiff’s Motions as follows:

This Court finds, in the absence of adversarial presentation, that it has personal jurisdiction over Defendant since Defendant directly targets their business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois. [Doc. 1 at ¶¶2-3, 11-14, 17, 26]. Specifically, Defendant is reaching out to do business with Illinois residents by operating one or more commercial, interactive internet stores through which they display, advertise, market, and offer products for sale utilizing the design embodied Plaintiff’s federally registered design patent, No. US-D1,049,230-S (hereinafter, the “Plaintiff’s Design Patent”) to residents of Illinois.

The Court also finds that issuing this Order without notice pursuant to Rule 26(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because Plaintiff has presented specific facts in support of the Motions demonstrating that Plaintiff will otherwise be unable to properly serve Defendant without the requested leave. Specifically, an *ex parte* Order for discovery of Defendant's sales records, financial accounts, and e-mail addresses is necessary so that Defendant can be served with notice to conserve judicial resources and proceed to the merits of this case. Accordingly, this Court orders that:

1. The Court authorizes Plaintiff to serve third-party discovery on an expedited basis, with responses due not less than 10 days after service. The requests shall be proportional to the immediate needs of the case. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). For example, a request for "documents sufficient to show X" is better than a request for "all documents showing X." Plaintiff shall consider what documents it genuinely needs at this early stage and shall consider the burden on third parties. Plaintiff shall work cooperatively with third parties impacted by this Order and make reasonable, good faith efforts to ease the burdens imposed by Plaintiff's request for expedited discovery.
2. Defendant, within fourteen (14) days after receiving notice of this Order, shall serve upon Plaintiff a written report under oath providing:
 - a. The identity and location, including contact information, its true name and physical address, and all associated e-mail addresses, of Defendant;
 - b. the nature of Defendant's operations and all associated sales, methods of payment for services and financial information, including, without

limitation, identifying information associated with the Defendant Internet Store, and Defendant's financial accounts, as well as providing a full accounting of Defendant's sales and listing history.

3. In addition to other methods authorized by law, Plaintiff may provide notice of these proceedings to third parties by delivery of this Order and other relevant documents to the Online Marketplace platforms and/or Third Party Service Providers by email service.
4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(f)(3), the Plaintiff may serve Defendant via email and electronic publication, which are methods of service reasonably calculated to provide notice under the circumstances of this case. Plaintiff may provide notice of these proceedings to third parties by delivery of this Order and other relevant documents to the Online Marketplace platforms and/or Third Party Service Providers by email and website posting.
5. The Clerk of the Court is directed to issue a single original summons in the name of "Accfore." The combination of providing notice via electronic publication and e-mail, along with any notice that Defendant receives from payment processors, shall constitute notice reasonably calculated under all circumstances to apprise Defendant of the pendency of the action and afford them the opportunity to present its objections.

March 16, 2026



Lindsay C. Jenkins
United States District Judge